Kann man Plasmapolymere mit klassischchemischer Struktur und entsprechend hochwertigen Eigenschaften erzeugen?

Is it possible to produce plasma polymers with a classical chemical structure and thus with high-quality properties?

Jörg Florian Friedrich

Technische Universität Berlin

Can a classical chemical structure of a plasma polymer layer be achieved by replacing monomers with polymers ?



Classic polymers

What does the classic polymer structure look like and can it also be generated during plasma polymerization and how?



German organic chemist who demonstrated the existence of macromolecules, which he characterized as polymers (1920).





lamellae

spherulite

Today's ideas on polymer structure based on Kanig´s

studies [G. Kanig, H. Bauer, H.

G. Kilian, H. G. Zachmann, R. Hosemann, Kristallisier- und Schmelzvorgänge bei Polymeren, Coll. Polym. Sci. 260,:356-377 (1982)]

Classic chain-growth polymerization

Can the plasma start a classic chain-growth polymerization?



K. Ziegler 1898-1973 Nobel price 1963



E. O. Fischer 1918-2007 Nobel price 1973



W. Kaminsky 1941-



Nobel price 1963



G. Wilkinson 1921-1996 Nobel price 1973



H. Sinn 1929-

Classic chain-growth polymerization using metallocene (zircon) catalysts and MAO (methylalumoxane) co-catalysts leads to linear macromolecules (Kaminsky, Sinn)



Pioneering atmospheric plasma synthesis of biomolecules and biopolymers in 1953



Synthesis of amino acids and nuclein bases as well as **bio polymers** using plasma in the atmosphere of the early, prebiotic Earth with H_2O , CH_4 , NH_3 and H_2





H. C. Urey 1893-1981 Nobel price 1934 S. L. Miller 1930-2007

Comparison of the structures of classic polymers with those of plasma polymers

linear chains ↔ cross-linked network with entrapped low-molecular compounds



What is the reason for the totally different structure of plasma polymers in comparison to classic polymers?

Reason is: 1) High-energy tail of the electron energy distribution and 2) continuous energy supply through the electrical discharge



- continuous (inexhaustible) supply of electrical energy
- high-energy tail of electron energy distribution
- bond dissociation energies are much smaller than the high-energy tail
- ionization energies are higher than bond dissociation energies
- permanent ion bombardment from plasma
- permanent hard UV-photon irradiation

CHEMISTRY:

Chain-growth polymerization is a polymerization technique where unsaturated monomer molecules add onto the active site on a growing polymer chain one at a time. There are a limited number of these active sites at

any moment during the polymerization which gives this method its key characteristics.

Mechanism:

- 1. Chain initiation
- 2. Chain propagation
- 3. Chain termination.

Chain polymerizations are exothermic reactions, as the resulting polymers are lower in energy than the mostly unsaturated monomers.

Styrene	–70 kJ/mo
Vinylchloride	-71
Vinylacetate	-88
Acrylonitrile	-79,4
Acrylic acid methylester	-80

With a degree of polymerization of styrene of 10,000, 700,000 kJ/mol are released per mol. In industrial processes, the considerable heat of polymerization must be dissipated by external cooling to prevent the polymerization rate from increasing exponentially, which can lead to an explosion.

Difference in reaction heat of plasma and classic polymerization

Energy per molecule needed for polymerization (calorimetric data)



The reaction heat has to be dissipated.

calorimetric measurements

Evidence for the irregular structure of plasma polymers from a chemical point of view

Plasma polymers have irregular structure and composition, therefore inferior mechanical and chemical properties



What conclusions can be drawn from the comparison of the properties of plasma polymers and classical polymers? More drawbacks than advantages

Disadvantages \rightarrow bad chemical and mechanical characteristics dominate

- ionization, dissociation, fragmentation of monomers/precusors dominates
- random recombination of atoms, radicals and fragments of the monomer molecule
- **hydrogen release** from monomers/polymers increases the electron temperature and intensifies the aggressiveness of the plasma
- **crosslinking** and polymer **decomposition** of deposited plasma polymer layers is additionally enhanced by irradiation with vacuum-ultra-violet from plasma
- radicals react by recombination and **radicals remain trapped** in the layer
- post-plasma radical-radical recombination (crosslinking) and **peroxidation** of trapped radicals by oxygen from air (auto-oxidation)
- long-term **ageing** of plasma polymers by peroxidation, oxidation of double bonds, radical-radical recombination
- chain-growth polymerization in low-pressure discharges is not possible because of low monomer density in vacuum or in air for promptly propagation of chain growth
- ethylene plasma polymers do **not have even the shortest regular sequence** of $-[CH_2-CH_2]_2$ -, as evidenced by the absence of a rocking band at $\rho CH_2 \approx 725$ cm⁻¹ in the IR spectrum

Advantages \rightarrow only monosort functionalized plasma polymers are of interest

- **40-80% of the functional and reactive groups survive** the plasma polymerization process so that they can mediate adhesion or serve as an anchor point for the covalent grafting of functional molecules
- radical sites, post-plasma introduced O containing polar groups and **defects improve adhesion**

New approaches to avoid the synthesis of irregularly structured polymer resins in plasma by introducing polymers instead of monomers into the plasma with its results



PlasmapolymerizationPolymer deposition(dielectric barriervia plasmadischarge or low-(dielectric barrierpressure glowdischarge-DBD)discharge)discharge-DBD

Deposition of nonpolar polymers via Atmospheric-Pressure Chemical Ionization (APCI) Deposition of polar ionic polymers via ElectroSpray Ionization (ESI) plasmaless

Following problems arise when classic high polymers are transferred to plasma

First physical problem: Too high electron energy and too high continuous energy supply

First chemical problem: The C-C bonds in polymers are weaker than the C-H bonds, which leads to preferred scission of the polymer chain on exposure to the plasma. Chain scission is associated with a decrease in molecular weight, which in turn means a dramatic deterioration in the properties of the polymer.



Third problem: Polymers cannot be evaporated otherwise pyrolysis (chain scission) occurs.

Fourth problem: Soluble polymers can be sprayed and transferred to air undecomposed

Fifth problem: Plasma vacuum UV radiation generates cross-linking in deposited polymer

Sixth problem: Chain scission and H-abstraction generate **C-radical**s that can later react with atmospheric oxygen (auto-oxidation)

Do the classical polymers retain at least part of their regular structure after they have been passed the continuous-wave plasma? Only partially or not at all?



Deposition of a monomolecular layer of single macromolecules by electrospray ionization (ESI) in atmosphere



Limited retention of regular polymer structure using PMMA and APCI

Some poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) sequences remain intact during short-term treatment with atmospheric pressure plasma chemical ionization (APCI) in air



MALDI-ToF (Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization - Time of Flight) mass spectra of PMMA before (right) and after (left) APCI deposition

Complete retention of the original structure of the poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) layer when using plasmaless electrospray ionisation (ESI)

No changes in structure and composition are detected



No differences in spectra of reference polymers and ESI-sprayed polymers



IRRAS (Infrared Reflection Absorption Spectroscopy) spectra of poly(methyl methacrylate = PMMA) before and after ESI (Electro-Spray-Ionization) deposition **are identical**





XPS C1s peaks of poly(acrylic acid) deposited as **identical** layers by casting and by ESI with thickness of <10 nm

How high is the proportion of remaining regular classical polymer structures after plasma exposure?

The classic polymer structure is largely destroyed, resulting in significantly deteriorated



- 1. Plasma polymerization, starting with classic monomers, does not generate any regular structure
- 2. Starting with **high polymers** instead of monomers it is evident that any plasma exposure rapidly **destroys nearly all regular polymer sequences and structures**
- 3. Conclusion: Polymer layers with a classic chemical structure and correspondingly high-quality physical and chemical properties can only be achieved without plasma

What conclusions can be drawn from the comparison of the properties of plasma polymers and classical polymers?

To mechanism - disadvantages

- 1. Any impact of a plasma on polymers **destroys** their structure and composition ("atomic bomb")
- 2. Plasma chemical reactions of **organic monomers** and polymers are **non-selective** and lead to **many different products** within the polyrecombination during layer deposition
- 3. Degradation reactions, but also cross-linking of polymers, lead disproportionately to serious **loss of properties**, i.e. every polymer layer that has seen plasma is inferior in its properties
- 4. The **C-C bonds** in polymers are somewhat easier to cleave than the **C-H** bonds. This leads to chain degradation when exposed to plasma and thus to serious losses in mechanical strength
- 5. The fragments and radicals generated by the plasma cannot be completely saturated during layer deposition, radicals are trapped, retain their reactivity over long periods of time and react with atmospheric oxygen to form all conceivable O-functional groups as part of so-called **auto-oxidation**, therefore, plasma polymers **are not stable**

Layer formation - open questions that spontaneously come to mind

- 6. Interactions between plasma-activated surface and plasma polymer and their radicals **have not been investigated** but may be **beneficial** for higher adhesion
- 7. Are monomolecular plasma polymer coatings (approx. 1 nm) free of pin holes?

Attempts to introduce chemically regular and selective processes

- 8. Pulsed and pressure-pulsed plasma were hardly successful
- 9. The bromination was selective, oxygen incorporation was prevented, but degradation at surface

Successful attempts to obtain a regular polymer structure

10. Electrospray-ionization (ESI) deposition of ultra-thin regularly structured polymer layers have regular structure and composition

Why can a plasma still be useful in the deposition of welladhering ultra-thin polymer layers with a regular structure? The plasma can break every chemical bond

Conclusions for a sensible use of plasma, also taking into account the plasma-induced post-tidal structural changes of polymers shown by an example:

Plasma bromination of polymer substrates and **electrospray ionization coating with reactive classical polymers** as a useful application of a plasma in the deposition of **covalently adhering thin polymer layers on polymer substrates**



Advantages

- Plasma bromination is almost 100% selective
- Monomolecular layers of the polymer can be deposited using the ESI process
- Very strong covalent bonds are formed at high density: -Br + H₂N-~→-NH-~ + HBr
- This post-plasma chemical reaction takes place spontaneously and requires no additional energy
- o Coating can be carried out in air

Disadvantages

- Plasma bromination leads to degradation on the polymer surface
- A weak boundary layer is formed, not resistant in solvents
- Bromination is carried out in a low-pressure plasma (vacuum process)